

# STUDENT-GENERATED MICROGAMES

STEAMTEACH AUSTRIA PDF

# PROJECT

PROJECT ACRONYM	STEAMTeach
PROJECT TITLE	STEAM Education for Teaching Professionalism
PROJECT REFERENCE	2020-1-ES01-KA201-082102
START DATE	1 <sup>st</sup> October 2020
KEY ACTION	Cooperation for innovation and the exchange of good practices
ACTION TYPE	Strategic Partnerships for school education
PROJECT WEBSITE	https://www.steamteach.unican.es/

# **REPORT DETAILS**

VERSION	Draft
DATE	15.11.2021
MAIN AUTHORS' NAME AND	Imam Fitri Rahmadi (imam.rahmadi@jku.at)
EMAIL ADDRESS	Tony Houghton (ajh249@gmail.com)
CONTRIBUTING	Johannes Kepler Universität Linz
INSTITUTIONS	
REVIEWED BY	
STATUS	

The European Commission's support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflect the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	PR	OJECT JUSTIFICATION				
	1.1	Project Overview	2			
2	2 CURRICULAR CONTEXT					
	2.1	Key competences	2			
	2.2	Content	2			
	2.3	Expected learning results	3			
3	STI	EPS TO BE EXECUTED	3			
	3.1	Step 1: Identify a unique challenge or problem	3			
	3.2	Step 2: Investigate the challenge using the inquiry process & apply ideas	3			
	3.3	Step 3: Explore the ideas through collaborative activities	3			
	3.4	Step 4: Utilize the inquiry process to refine products	3			
	3.5	Step 5: Develop the summative product and share publically	3			
4	PR	OJECT EVALUATION	3			
5	MA	ATERIALS AND ROOMS	4			
6	INS	STRUCTIONS ABOUT THE CONSTRUCTION	OF			
Pl	ROT	DTYPE/SOFTWARE/OTHER	4			
7	СО	NCLUSIONS	4			
R	eferei	1ces	4			

### **1 PROJECT JUSTIFICATION**

Educational games enable students to learn in more meaningful manners. Those games may offer a rich field for a risk-free, active exploration of serious intellectual and social problems (Abt, 1970: 13). Furthermore, serious games could provide users with fun and meaningful experiences reaching up to the emotional level as well as offer immediate feedback and adaptability (Dörner et al., 2016). Games can be integrated for supporting learning in two different ways, to play games or to create games (Rieber et al., 2009; Siko & Barbour, 2012). The first strategy is by far the most common ones. Teachers use games for teaching while students playing games for the means of learning. Creating games as media for learning and instruction is the more advance level and this looks potential to support integrated learning such as for science, technology, engineering, arts and mathematics (STEAM) education. Games act as the arts to bridge connection among other STEM subjects (G.A.STEM, 2019). By developing games, students learn interdisciplinary about science, technology, engineering, arts and mathematics.

Developing educational microgames – very short and small games, instead of games in general, appears to be more suitable for school curriculum and resources. The present activity promotes students and teachers to be able to develop microgames on the GeoGebra platform. The activity could empower them to explore arts in connection to science, technology, engineering, and mathematics. Students and teachers could start expressing their creativity by designing a very short and small game with mathematical contents. This process is followed by constructing the design on GeoGebra so that they can apply science, technology, and engineering during the game developments. The process of designing and developing microgames can be done individually or in collaboration with peers. They may also share the created games to their peers for testing.



#### 1.1 Project Overview

<i>Participant age:</i> 15 - 45	<i>No. of participants:</i> Groups of 3 - 5	<i>Duration:</i> 5 hours
<i>Level of knowledge:</i> Basic of GeoGebra, computer, and programming	No. of teachers: 2 - 3	<i>Type of venue:</i> Regular classroom Computer laboratory
<i>Learning methodologies:</i> Project-based learning Collaborative learning	Involved disciplines: Science Technology Engineering Arts Mathematics	<i>Technological needs:</i> Paper and pencil Computer Internet
Most emphasised learning methodology: Project-based learning Collaborative learning	Main addressed topics: Mathematical games	Estimated project cost: 500 €

# **2 CURRICULAR CONTEXT**

#### 2.1 Key competences

The present activity develops students and teachers competences on digital literacy and STEAM.

#### 2.2 Content

The content of this activity is described in the following table.





#### 2.3 Expected learning results

Students and teachers are expected to learn arts that are integrated with science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM).

### **3 STEPS TO BE EXECUTED**

#### 3.1 Step 1: Identify a unique challenge or problem

Duration: 30 minutes

Identifying mathematical concepts or problems for microgames content

#### 3.2 Step 2: Investigate the challenge using the inquiry process & apply ideas

Duration: 30 minutes Designing a microgame with paper and pencil as the basic concept

#### 3.3 Step 3: Explore the ideas through collaborative activities

Duration: 2 hours Developing the microgame design on GeoGebra

#### 3.4 Step 4: Utilize the inquiry process to refine products

Duration: 30 minutes Testing and refining the created microgames

#### 3.5 Step 5: Develop the summative product and share publically

Duration: 30 minutes Developing the final version of microgames and share it to their peers

# **4 PROJECT EVALUATION**

At the end of the activity, students and teachers are asked to fill out a questionnaire about their experiences in integrated teaching and learning by developing microgames.

### **5 MATERIALS AND ROOMS**

The activity would need papers, pencils, and computers with good Internet connection.

# 6 INSTRUCTIONS ABOUT THE CONSTRUCTION OF PROTOTYPE/SOFTWARE/OTHER

Learning resources for this activity would be provided on a GeoGebra book.

## 7 CONCLUSIONS

The activity has been planed and would be evaluated after the training.

## References

Abt, C. C. (1970). Serious Games. Viking.

- Diego-Mantecón, J., Blanco, T., Ortiz-Laso, Z., & Lavicza, Z. (2021). STEAM projects with KIKS format for developing key competences. [Proyectos STEAM con formato KIKS para el desarrollo de competencias clave]. *Comunicar, 66*, 33-43. https://doi.org/10.3916/C66-2021-03
- G.A.STEM. (2019). Enhancing STEM Skills through Arts and Mini-games. University of Turku.
- Mildner, P., & 'Floyd' Mueller, F. (2016). Design of Serious Games. In R. Dörner, S. Göbel, W. Effelsberg, & J. Wiemeyer (Eds.), *Serious Games* (pp. 57–82).
  Springer International Publishing. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-40612-1\_3</u>
- Rahmadi, I. F., Lavicza, Z., & Houghton, T. (2021). Defining Microgames in Education Context. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning (IJET) in Press.*
- Rahmadi, I. F., Lavicza, Z., & Houghton, T. (2021). Towards User-generated Microgames for Supporting Learning: An Investigative Exploration. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 13(3), 1–16. <u>https://doi.org/10.30935/cedtech/10785</u>



- Rahmadi, I. F., Lavicza, Z., Arkün Kocadere, S., Houghton, T., & Hohenwarter, M. (2021). The strengths and weaknesses of user-generated microgames for assisting learning. *Education and Information Technologies*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10635-8</u>
- Rieber, L., Barbour, M., Thomas, G., & Rauscher, D. (2009). Learning by Designing Homemade PowerPoint Games. In C. T. Miller (Ed.), *Games: Purpose and Potential in Education* (pp. 23–42). Springer US. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09775-6\_2</u>
- Siko, J. P., & Barbour, M. K. (2012). Homemade PowerPoint Games: Game Design Pedagogy Aligned to the TPACK Framework. *Computers in the Schools*, 29(4), 339–354. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/07380569.2012.734430</u>

